

Minutes of Stoke Golding Parish Council

Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee

Minutes of the 82nd meeting of the Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee held via virtual conferencing on Tuesday 23rd February 2021 at 9.30am.

- **Attendance:** Mervyn Ward (MW) chair, Rachel Terheege (RT), Brian Ninness (BN), Ruth Fisher (RF), Colin Wilkinson (CW consultant) and two residents.

82/1 To receive apologies for absence:

- It was **NOTED** that there were none.

82/2 To receive declarations of interest on items on the agenda and dispensations:

- It was **NOTED** that all members declared an interest as residents of the village and the PC clerk has produced a form for all members to sign at the next actual meeting.

82/3 To confirm the minutes of the 81st and 82nd meeting of the Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee:

- It was **RESOLVED** that the minutes of the NPAC meetings held on 8th February 2021 and the 15th February 2021 were unanimously **AGREED** and the Chairman signed the minutes of the 80th and 81st meetings as correct records of the meetings

82/4 To consider any matters arising from previous decisions of the NPAC not covered by the agenda:

- It was **NOTED** that there were none

82/5 To consider procedural matters

- It was **NOTED** that this meeting will close at midday.

82/6 To receive the minutes and update from the sub-committee

- It was **NOTED** that there were no signed minutes from the previous sub-committee meetings

82/7 To consider the Plan of work for completing the NP process

The following was **RESOLVED:**

- It was **NOTED** that there may be delays to the process by the purdah restrictions prior to the HBBC May elections. The NP could be presented to HBBC in April for their scrutiny before sending out for consultation in May.
- It was **NOTED** that three issues would need finalising:
 - 1) The Consultation statement – to be completed when schedule approved
 - 2) The Condition Statement – to be completed after PC approval
 - 3) Finalisation of the SEA Report - Not within our control. ACTION: MW to write to AECOM
- It was **NOTED** that Parish Councillors might require extra time to read all 146 pages of the document. Action: MW to write a 2 page summary of proposals to accompany the document.

82/8 To consider funding

The following was **RESOLVED:**

- It was **NOTED** that anyone requiring reimbursement for printing costs to contact MW with details of type of ink used

82/9 To consider consultant matters

The following was **RESOLVED:**

- It was **NOTED** that there were none

82/10 To consider the responses to the Regulation 14 consultation

- It was **NOTED** that the Zion Baptist Church is to be designated as a Local Green Space. The other sites will be listed but shown as excluded because of their ownership by the PC or Diocese or their educational recreational areas. ACTION: CW to check size of plot and relevant map.
- It was **NOTED** that the NPAC looked at further views
- ACTION:
 - A First Convent Drive view – to be removed
 - B Second Convent Drive view - to be retained
 - C View from Hinckley Road - to be removed
 - D View from Hinckley Road – to be removed
 - E View from Wykin Lane - to be retained
 - F View from canal – to be retained
 - G View from Higham Lane - to be removed
 - H View from Higham Lane – to be removed
 - I View from canal – to be retained
 - J View from canal – to be retained
 - K View towards Dadlington - to be retained
 - L View towards Higham - NPAC to decide
 - View of Stoke Golding - to be retained
- It was **NOTED** that CW has completed a list of communications from the Reg 14 consultation with suggested responses and proposed action. The proposed recommendations (that were discussed at this meeting) were accepted with the following exceptions:
 - Page 12 – All documents have already been accessibility checked
 - Page 20 – The NPAC notes the changing situation in the housing needs figure for the Borough
 - Page 22 to 109- The housing need is calculated at 57 with a buffer of 14% with gives 65
 - Page 30 – Highlight the fact that the previous allocation of housing need of 60 was massively exceeded as the examiner will otherwise not be aware of that fact.
 - Page 34 – Windfall numbers are not part of the NPAC methodology
 - Page 46 – Query regarding the robust nature of site assessment – refer to SEA documentation which explained about evaluation of the sites.

82/11 To consider Regulations 15 and 16

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that there was no action at present

82/12 To consider future communication and consultation with residents:

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that there were none

82/13 To receive and consider such communications as received by the Chair

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that there was correspondence regarding Dadlington's NP. Action: MW to reply and to send revised SG NP as soon as available.

82/14 To consider items needed to be brought to the public's attention

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that there were none

82/15 Public participation – to consider any comments or questions by the public on items on the agenda

- It was **NOTED** that MW thanked residents for their contribution to the meeting.

82/16 To consider future agenda items

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that there was none

82/17 Date for the next meeting

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** the date of the next meeting is 9.30am, Monday 1st March 2021 via virtual conferencing.
- Future meetings were scheduled for: 9.30am, Monday 15th March 2021 and 7pm, Monday 29th March 2021

The meeting closed at 12.10 pm