

Minutes of Stoke Golding Parish Council

Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee

Minutes of the 63rd meeting of the Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee held via virtual conferencing on Monday 22nd June 2020

Attendance: Mervyn Ward (MW) chair, Brian Ninness (BN), Rachel Terheege (RT), Ruth Fisher (RF), one resident.

63/1 To receive apologies for absence: Bernard Lamb (BL)

63/2 To receive declarations of interest on items on the agenda and dispensations:

- It was **NOTED** that all members declared an interest as residents of the village and the PC clerk has produce a form for all members to sign at the next actual meeting.

63/3 To confirm the minutes of the 62nd meeting of the Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee:

- It was **RESOLVED** that the minutes of the NPAC meeting held on 15th June 2020 were unanimously **AGREED** and the Chairman signed the minutes of the 62nd meeting as a correct record of the meeting.

63/4 To consider any matters arising from previous decisions of the NPAC not covered by the agenda:

- It was **NOTED** there were none

63/5 To consider procedural matters

- It was **NOTED** that MW replied to a request from FB at HBBC that the plan was going ahead

63/6 To receive the minutes and update from the sub-committee

- It was **NOTED** that there were no signed minutes from the previous sub-committee meetings

63/7 To consider the draft plan

a) To consider the meeting with HBBC Conservation Officer and how to respond in our development process

- It was **NOTED** that the planning application for Wykin Lane was refused
- It was **NOTED** that the NP preferred site is Mulberry Farm (25) with a reserve site adjoining but the reserve site is strongly opposed by HBBC Conservation Officer
- It was **NOTED** that the reserve site was recommended by HBBC and CW as HBBC could overturn the Plan if their housing number allocation in their Local Plan (estimated 2021) for SG was greater than the number supplied by the SG NP.
- It was **NOTED** that all 15 possible sites around SG had been assessed and ranked and the next site in ranking was AS540.
- It was **AGREED** (unanimously) to recommend AS540 as the reserve site and to put a strongly worded cap to limit further development of this site during the period of the Plan.
- It was **AGREED** to propose this recommendation to the Parish Council on 1st July 2020 for endorsement
- It was **AGREED** to update the Plan with the revised site, making clear why this change has been required.
- It was **RECOMMENDED** that, if development is allowed subsequently on Wykin Lane before approval of the Plan, the proposed site allocations would no longer be valid

b) To consider important countryside views

- It was **NOTED** that MW will take further photos of the three landscapes now that the weather has improved and all the completed views will be sent to Colin with the remainder of the draft when available.

63/8 To consider the plan of work for completing the NP process

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that amendments to dates may be required in view of the above

63/9 To consider funding

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that TC has submitted the claim for the grant. A further £1000 is available now which will leave £1277 to be claimed in the future.

62/10 To consider consultant matters

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that MW has updated CW with the current situation.

63/11 To consider Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that MW has spoken to IK, who is working on the scoping report of the SEA, to update him on the current situation.

63/12 To consider future communication and consultation with residents:

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that NPAC and PC minutes of the meetings would be on the website
- It was **AGREED** that an item would be sent to the Stoker by the 15th August deadline for the next magazine (September) explaining the situation

63/13 To receive and consider such communications as received by the Chair

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that there was correspondence from:
 - a) an email notifying of the extra £1000 available via the grant
 - b) a letter from FB at HBBC regarding an update on the NP
 - c) MW communicated with IK at ACOM
 - d) an enquiry from a HBBC Councillor asking if the NP committee had communicated with Davidsons before the Planning Committee. MW replied that there had been no dialogue with Davidsons nor any other developer as part of the site evaluation and selection.

63/14 To consider items needed to be brought to public's attention

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that there was none.

63/15 Public participation – to consider any comments or questions by the public on items on the agenda

- It was **NOTED** that a resident was in agreement with the decision made by the committee and stressed that we need to press on to complete the NP.

•

63/16 To consider future agenda items

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that there was none.

63/17 Date for the next meeting

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** the date of the next meeting is 7pm, Friday 3rd July via virtual conferencing

The meeting closed at 8.10pm

