

Stoke Golding Neighbourhood Development Plan Advisory Committee

Draft 2 Minutes of the meeting held on 12th March 2018 at Stoke Golding Methodist Church Hall

	Committee Members Present: Jim Hope(JH) (Chair), Sarah Beale(SB), Kate McCreight (KM) and Rob Gaskin (RG)	Tasks allocated
20/1	Apologies for Absence Roy Mitchell (RM)	
20/2	Declaration of interest on items on the Agenda None	
20/3	To confirm the previous minutes and notes of the meetings The date of the next meeting should read 12 th not 26 th . With this amendment the minutes of the previous meeting were approved.	
20/4	Matters arising JH said he had one matter which could be deferred until the end of the meeting.	
20/5	<p>Transport Policies Alan White and Brian Ninness had updated their document “Movement and Transport Policy” and this had been circulated to the committee along with the agenda and minutes of the previous meeting. JH had circulated a discussion document “Stoke Golding Neighbourhood Plan Draft Transport and Movement Policies” which RM had asked him to review based upon information from the afore mentioned document. JH took the committee through the discussion document.</p> <p>JH proposed calling it Draft Transport and Movement Policies. All agreed. The committee agreed with the overall aim written in green at the top of page 1.</p> <p>Aim: sustainable, accessible and safe travel choices for all which contribute positively to a connected, attractive and healthy community.</p> <p>Alan White said that we hadn’t stated what the issues are. JH said that there would be a large evidence base to the Neighbourhood Plan (NP). Now we are just interested in the Policies.</p> <p>JH asked the committee for comments on points 1 to 6 and the changes proposed. SB said that it would be important to have a transport Sub-committee to take this plan forward in the long term. It would be a lot for the Parish Council to do on their own. RG happy with the changes - it sets the scene, though it was a bit aspirational. SB said it was something for the future and hoped those passionate about transport would stick with it.</p> <p>All the committee were happy with Policies 1, 2 and 3</p> <p>Policy 1. Provide <u>sustainable</u> movement & transport choices that make efficient use of resources, minimise the impact on the environment and respect the rural character of the village. Policy 2. Provide <u>accessible</u> movement and transport choices that are inclusive, convenient and affordable and contribute to a connected, healthy and vibrant community. Policy 3. Provide <u>safe</u> (real and perceived) movement and transport choices for both travellers and residents having regard to traffic speed and volume, quality of pavements, pavement parking and congestion.</p> <p>For Policy 4 the committee agreed to delete “for 10 or more dwellings” and the policy now reads</p> <p>Policy 4. Ensure new development supports sustainable travel and creates a sense of place. New development should encourage sustainable transport and</p>	

- create quality, vibrant places.
Specifically, development proposals should:
1. Ensure layouts and designs provide a safe, attractive, permeable, legible and useable public realm for all users, putting people first. Designs should adhere to the principles and standards specified in the current version of the DfT's Manual for Streets.
 2. Provide links to existing village amenities allowing movement by sustainable means.
 3. Developers proposals should:
 - a. Demonstrate how the development aligns with the movement & transport vision and associated policies.
 - b. Demonstrate how new residents can sustainably access amenities, services and places of work.
 - c. Indicate how people will be encouraged to travel sustainably and how this will be monitored and delivered.
 4. Local policy is to develop safe, accessible and sustainable movement and transport options. A number of transport projects to deliver these policies have been identified¹. New developments will be expected to make proportionate contributions to these projects.

The committee was asked what they meant by **sustainable**. There was no definition of sustainable in the preamble.
RG felt that there was a lot of repetition in Policy 4. He was assured there would be a chance to have a second look at these policies. All agreed with policy 4 as stated above.

The committee then looked at the suggested Policy in **Appendix 1** to the NP Transport and Movement policies. Not enforceable.
The committee voted in favour of retaining the wording "will seek" funding and agreed to keep only point a) of policy 1. The appendix now reads: -

Appendix 1 to Neighbourhood Plan – Transport Policies
In relation to the following statement that is in the main body of the Neighbourhood Plan

It is strongly recommended that expert help is sought to help identify the most effective interventions. It is further recommended that residents are involved in this process to ensure there is a better understanding of the issues (e.g. exactly where and when pavement parking is an issue) and that residents buy-in to potential solutions. (see appendix 1 to Neighbourhood Plan)

The Parish Council will adopt the following
Policy 1

The Parish Council will seek funding so that

- a) professional advice can be obtained to identify interventions that will have a positive impact on managing traffic in the Neighbourhood Plan area

Alan White and Brian Ninness were asked for their comments. Alan said that there was no articulation of issues and inclusion of the C words used to identify strategies - Cut down, Convert, Civilise, Create.

The audience were asked for comments. There were none.

20/6	To receive and consider such communications as received by the Chairman None	
20/7	To consider items needed to be brought to the public's attention (AOB) None	

20/04	<p>Matters arising from minutes (deferred)</p> <p>JH had asked for “input on the wording of the policies” to be on tonight’s agenda. He was suggesting working with the designated person in the planning department. Whilst it was felt that we should write our policies as we want them, with Kirkwells putting it into planning speak, JH felt that any comments from the planning department would be helpful. The planning department may not be able to advise the committee and the committee did not have to take any advice given. It was agreed to contact the planning department.</p>	
20/8	<p>The meeting finished at 8.20pm. The next meeting will be on Monday 9th April 2018 at 7pm.</p>	
	<p>Also present: - Peter Underwood, Geoff Ford, Alan White, Brian Ninness, Rachel Terhege, Terry Botterill and Anne Fullagar(minutes).</p>	