

Draft Minutes of Stoke Golding Parish Council

Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee

Minutes of the 48th meeting of the Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee held in the Methodist Church Hall on Monday 14th October 2019

Attendance: Mervin Ward (MW) (chair), Rachel Terheege (RT), Ruth Fisher (RF), Bernard Lamb (BL), Brian Ninness (BN)

48/1 To receive apologies for absence:

- Sarah Beale (SB), Rob Gaskin (RG), Janice Strand (JS)

48/2 To receive declarations of interest on items on the agenda and dispensations:

- It was **NOTED** there were none

48/3 To confirm the minutes of the 47th meeting of the Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee:

It was **RESOLVED** that the minutes of the NPAC meeting held on 30th September 2019 were unanimously **AGREED** and the Chairman signed the minutes of the 47th meeting as a correct record of the meeting.

48/4 To consider any matters arising from previous decisions of the NPAC not covered by the agenda:

- It was **NOTED** there were none

48/5 To consider procedural matters

- It was **NOTED** that JS gave reasons for not being able to continue with the committee and has therefore resigned. The Chair thanked her for her contribution to the committee.

48/6 To receive the minutes and update from the sub-committee

The following was **RESOLVED** that there had been no sub-committee meeting since the last NPAC meeting.

- It was **NOTED that** the 4th workshop was held on Friday 4th October to assess the options of the brownfield sites. CW was asked to find out more information.
- It was **NOTED** that a sub-committee meeting will be held on Thursday 17th October to continue the assessment exercise.
- It was **NOTED** that the proposed development (which was put forward at a village consultation) does not meet the NP strategy.

48/7 To consider the draft plan

The following was **RESOLVED:**

- It was **NOTED** that SB and RT compared the amended draft plan from CW against the requested amendments from the committee.
- It was **NOTED** that CW had either amended the draft as requested or gave reasons why the requests would not be acceptable. There were a few points that were left for discussion with CW when he is next at a meeting.

48/8 To consider consultant matters

The following was **RESOLVED:**

- It was **NOTED** that there were none.

48/9 To consider funding

The following was **RESOLVED:**

- It was **NOTED** that there were none.

48/10 To consider future communication and consultation with residents:

The following was **RESOLVED:**

- It was **NOTED** that an open event would be held after a decision was made on the housing policy and it was endorsed by the Parish Council.

48/11 Public participation – to consider any comments or questions by the public on items on the agenda

- It was **NOTED** that there was none.

48/12 To receive and consider such communications as received by the Chair

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that a communication was received from HBBC asking if there would still be a public consultation in November.
- It was **NOTED** that HBBC had produced a projected timeline.
- It was **NOTED** that this seemed achievable although there were some unknown time elements in determining the development strategy and the April 2020 date (to submit a draft plan) was a target to work to.

48/13 To consider items needed to be brought to public's attention

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** that there was none.

48/14 To consider future agenda items

The following was **RESOLVED** that an agenda item should be added (item 7) to discuss the procedure regarding a Site Environment Assessment (SEA) which would look at the overall environmental effect of any piece of land that is designated.

48/15 Date for the next meeting

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was **NOTED** the date of the next meeting is 7pm, Monday 28th October 2019 at the Methodist Hall.

The meeting closed at 7.31pm