

Stoke Golding Neighbourhood Development Plan Advisory Committee

Minutes of the meeting (SGNPAC 24) held on 8th October 2018 in Stoke Golding Methodist Church Hall

| | <p>Committee Members Present: Jim Hope (JH) (Chair), Will Alston (WA), Sarah Beale (SB), Ruth Fisher (RF), Rob Gaskin (RG), Bernard Lamb (BL), Kate McCreight (KM), Rachel Terhegge (RT) and Mervyn Ward (MW) New committee members introduced themselves.</p> | Tasks allocated |
|------|--|-----------------|
| 24/1 | <p>Apologies for Absence None. Confirmed Roy Mitchell had resigned from the committee and not just role of Chair.</p> | |
| 24/2 | <p>Declaration of interest on items on the Agenda None</p> | |
| 24/3 | <p>To confirm the previous minutes (24th September 2018) The minutes were approved and signed.</p> | |
| 24/4 | <p>Matters not covered by the agenda MW was too late to get 3 items on the agenda and he would like them included next time. (1) What is the logic of putting a plan in place without knowing the core allocation? (2) A discussion on the whole strategy of site selection. (3) Not one page of our plan has been written yet. We need to address this.</p> | |
| 24/5 | <p>Feedback on obtaining outside advice <u>Rural Community Council (RCC)</u> - A meeting with RCC has been arranged for 24th October 2018 <u>Neighbourhood Plan Champion (David Statham)</u> will come to meetings, review plan and give advice. <u>HBBC Planning</u> – Rob Foers is the contact in Planning. The Strategic and Economic Housing and Land Availability Allocation (SEHLAA) is due at the end of October. HBBC would prefer us to use the RCC as a gateway to them. <u>Kirkwells</u> – RG approached them. The impression he got was that Kirkwells hadn't expected to hear from us again and thought that they weren't needed. They would work with us again. Charges originally were £40 per hr and £280 per day. The charges stated are those on the original documentation and when asked they wouldn't confirm that they would be the same. They said they wouldn't "be far off" but that the method for working would now be on a task basis i.e. tell us what you want us to do and we'll agree a price. However, there were some reservations amongst members of the committee. RG said we would need to decide what we wanted them to do. Also, we need to start talking to NP Champion David Latham. The initial grant given was £9,000 and we paid out £2,400. If we haven't spent the whole grant it is returned. We can claim again. RT said we need to know what we want to spend the money on first.</p> | |
| 24/6 | <p>Older person's accommodation PC has told the agent for the developers that they cannot promote their scheme under the Neighbourhood Plan/PC umbrella.</p> | |
| 24/7 | <p>Request for permission to form a sub-committee/working party, to discuss with the RCC methods of site selection and how to develop a new survey for site selection This was approved. SB said the survey monkey subscription had lapsed.</p> | |
| 24/8 | <p>Selection of sub-committee/working party members to meet the RCC RG, BL, RT and JH can make the meeting with RCC on 24th.October.</p> | |
| 24/9 | <p>Inclusion of Alan White (AW) on the sub-committee/working party to meet with RCC</p> | |

| | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------------|
| | <p>This was agreed. AW said he wanted to know the terms of reference. What about the overall strategy e.g. numbers It wasn't just about a questionnaire for site selection. He was happy to be involved if it involved wider fact finding.</p> | |
| 24/10 | <p>Discussion of potential questions for the sub-committee/working party to ask and permission to for the sub-committee/working party to ask other questions as appropriate. JH requested permission to ask questions. RT said structured questions need to be decided beforehand. There was considerable discussion about the previous questionnaire and why a new one was needed. JH said site allocations had changed and some undevelopable sites are now developable subject to access. There was discussion about the housing allocation and how other plans have dealt with site selection. BL stressed need for information to be current. Discussion followed on the green wedge and green spaces. Decided that previous questionnaire established that people wanted green spaces surrounding village. Sub-committee to meet to select questions for meeting with RCC.</p> | JH, BL, RT, RG. AW |
| 24/11 | <p>Permission for the Chairperson to ask the RCC questions and respond to replies. Questions on planning to be considered after 24th October.</p> | |
| 24/12 | <p>How to use the resources of David Statham To return to this later.</p> | |
| 24/13 | <p>Neighbourhood Plan grant application</p> | |
| 24/14 | <p>Permission for the Chairperson to contact locality or My Community should it be needed to proceed with a Neighbourhood plan Grant Application. Permission agreed.</p> | |
| 24/15 | <p>Residents comments A resident applauded the comments made by MW and asked where the access to the sites would be. AW said in the Market Bosworth Plan they looked at different criteria for 2 sites e.g. impact on views, access. It was suggested that nimbyism would be evident in responses to a questionnaire on site selection. When will the plan be written up? MW suggested that most of it could be written now and likened it's structure to the Broughton Astley format.</p> | |
| 24/16 | <p>To receive and consider such communications as received by the Chairman</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Roy Mitchell's resignation letter provided contact details and stressed the urgency of completing Stoke Golding's Neighbourhood Plan in view of the lack of progress with Barwell and Earl Shilton SUEs. 2) Two offers of help from residents 3) Developer of care faculty asking to meet committee. To reply expressing the same opinion as the PC. | |
| 24/17 | <p>To consider items needed to be brought to the public's attention (AOB) None</p> | |
| 24/18 | <p>Date of next meeting; 22nd October 2018 at 7pm. Apologies from KM. The meeting closed at 9pm.</p> | |
| | <p>6 Residents attended</p> | |